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## Why iterations?

## Notation

Recall:

- $P_{3}=P_{2} * Q_{2}=Q_{0} * Q_{1} * Q_{2}$.
- $G_{2} \subseteq P_{2}$ generic over $V, G(2) \subseteq Q_{2}$ generic over $V\left[G_{2}\right]$. $G_{2} * G(2) \subseteq P_{2} * Q_{2}=P_{3}$ generic over $V$.

For example: We want to find a model where
$2^{\aleph_{0}}=\kappa=\operatorname{non}(\mathcal{M})$, i.e., every "small" set is meager, and the smallest nonmeager set is of size $\kappa$.
So we construct an iteration ( $\left.P_{\alpha}, Q_{\alpha}: \alpha<\kappa\right)$ with last element $P_{\kappa}$, where in each stage $\alpha$ the forcing notion $Q_{\alpha}$ will ...

- ... add a new real $\eta_{\alpha}$
- ... add a new meager set $M_{\alpha}$ covering all reals in $V\left[G_{\alpha}\right]$.

In the end, we will have (at least) $\kappa$ many reals, and every set of size $<\kappa$ will have appeared in an intermediate universe $V\left[G_{\alpha}\right]$ (not obvious, work a little bit), so it will be covered by the meager set $M_{\alpha}$ in the next universe $V\left[G_{\alpha+1}\right]$.

## Why iterations? - continued

More generally:
We want to force a statement of the form $\forall X \exists Y: \varphi(X, Y)$,
where

- $X$ is usually a set with few elements (e.g., a small set of reals, or a small family of measure zero sets),
- and $Y$ will be an object demonstrating that $X$ is small in some other sense (e.g., a meager set covering $X$, or a new real not contained in any element of $X$ )
We start by using a forcing $Q_{0}$, which adds an object $Y_{0}$ taking care of all $X \in V$.
But then we get new objects $X$, so we have to force again with $Q_{1}$, to get a $Y_{1}$ taking care of those $X$. etc.
At the end, after $\kappa$ many steps, we (hopefully) catch our tail and have taken care of all $X$.


## Why not iterations?

- Finite support: can only handle ccc forcing notions.
- Finite support: always adds Cohen reals. (However, see tomorrow's lecture)
- Countable support: CH after $\alpha+\omega_{1}$ steps. Cannot get $2^{\aleph_{0}}>\aleph_{2}$.
- other supports, other limits: (not in this lecture)
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## Countable support products

## Definition

Let ( $Q_{i}: i \in I$ ) be a family of forcing notions. The countable support product $Q=\prod_{i \in I} Q_{i}$ is the set of all partial functions $p$ with finite or countable domain $\subseteq I$ satisfying $p(i) \in Q_{i}$ for all $i$.
$Q$ is naturally ordered by the pointwise order. Each factor $Q_{i}$ is naturally embedded into $Q$.
If $G \subseteq Q$ is generic, then its projection $G(i) \subseteq Q_{i}$ is generic for $Q_{i}$ over $V$.
The products considered in this talk will always have $\aleph_{2}$-cc. (All $Q_{i}$ will be of size $2^{\aleph_{0}}$. Now use CH and a $\Delta$-system argument.)

## Why not CS products?

## Problems

- $G(i)$ is not generic over $V[G(j)]$. (Actually: $G(i)$ is generic over $V[G(j)]$, but only for the forcing $Q_{i} \in V$. Often we have a definition of $Q_{i}$, and we can evaluate this definition in $V[G(j)]$ yielding a name $Q_{i}^{\prime}$; then $G(i)$ is usually not generic for $Q_{i}^{\prime}[G(j)]$ over $V[G(j)]$.
- Not clear if the product will preserve $\aleph_{1}$.


## Examples

- The CS product of infinitely many Cohen reals collapses $\omega_{1}$.
- The CS product of infinitely many unbounded reals collapses $\omega_{1}$.
- The product of 2 (!) proper forcing notions may collapse $\omega_{1}$. (ZFC example)
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PLAN On the following slides I will motivate the technique of "creatures" with "halving", which was one ingredient in a recent paper of A.Fischer-G-Kellner-Shelah. (not a new technique)
DISCLAIMER To make things more transparent, I will lie occasionally, by downplaying or ignoring important details.
WARNING Still, a lot of technical background needs to be digested.
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## Generic null set

## Motivation

Fix a sequence $\bar{J}=\left(J_{n}: n \in \omega\right)$ of intervals of natural numbers, which are far apart and grow quickly:
$\cdots \ll \min J_{n} \ll \max J_{n} \ll \min J_{n+1} \ll \cdots$
We want to add a generic function $g$ where $g(n) \subseteq 2^{J_{n}}$ is a set of large relative measure (say, more than ( $1-1 / 2^{n}$ )).
The set $\left\{x \in 2^{\omega} \mid \forall n: x\left\lceil J_{n} \in g(n)\right\}\right.$ has positive measure, so $E_{g}:=\left\{x \in 2^{\omega}\left|\forall^{\infty} n: x\right| J_{n} \in g(n)\right\}$ has measure 1.
We want this set to avoid all ground model reals; "iterating" our forcing many times this will tend to make non(null) big. (non(null) $=$ the smallest size of a non-Lebesgue-null set)
We let LARGE $n:=\left\{A \subseteq 2^{J_{n}}:|A| / 2^{J_{n}} \mid>1-1 / 2^{n}\right\}$.

## A generic null set, part 2

We want to add a generic function $g$ with $g(n) \subseteq 2^{J_{n}}$ a set in
LARGE $_{n}:=\left\{A \subseteq 2^{J_{n}}:|A| / / 2^{J_{n}} \mid>1-1 / 2^{n}\right\}$.

## Definition

Let $Q^{j}$ be the set of all $p=\left(k^{p}, s^{p}, \bar{C}^{p}\right)$, where
(1) $s^{p}=\left(s_{0}^{p}, \ldots, s_{k^{p}-1}^{p}\right), \forall i<k^{p}: s_{i} \in \operatorname{LARGE}_{i}$.
(2) $\bar{C}=\left(C_{n}: n \geq k\right) ; \forall n: C_{n} \subseteq \operatorname{LARGE}_{n}$.
(3) $\limsup { }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{n}\right\|_{n}=\infty$, where
$\|C\|_{n}=\log ($ some reasonable measure of $C) / \min J_{n}!!$.
(Here $x \mapsto x$ !! is some sufficiently fast growing function.)
The sets $C_{n}$ are called "creatures", their elements "possibilities".
(Namely: possibilities for fragments of the generic.)
Any generic filter $G$ defines a generic function $g$, and the set $E_{g}:=\left\{x \in 2^{\omega}\left|\forall^{\infty} n: x\right| J_{n} \in g(n)\right\}$ has measure 1.
For every old real $x \in 2^{\omega}$, the set of all conditions $p$ satisfying "there are infinitely many $n$ such that $x \upharpoonright J_{n}$ avoids all $A \in C_{n}^{p,}$, is dense (explain why!); hence $x \in 2^{\omega} \backslash E_{g}$, a null set.

## Lemma

The forcing $Q^{\bar{j}}$ has "continuous reading of names", even "rapid reading". (=Lipschitz reading)
More explicitly: For any name $\underset{\sim}{x} \in 2^{\omega}$, and any condition $p$ there is a stronger condition $q$ such that:

- For all $n$, the value of $x \upharpoonright \max \left(I_{n}\right)$ will depend only on $g \upharpoonright \max \left(I_{n}\right)$.
Moreover, if we demand the above only for $n \geq n_{0}$, then we may also demand that $p$ and $q$ agree on all creatures below $n_{0}$.


## Proof.

A fusion argument. (blackboard?)

## Corollary

Let $\bar{J}$ and $\bar{J}$ ' be "very disjoint" sequences of intervals, and let $G \times G^{\prime}$ be generic for the forcing $Q^{j} \times Q^{j^{j}}$. Then the set $2^{\omega} \backslash E_{g}$ will cover not only all reals from $V$, but also all reals from $V\left[G^{\prime}\right]$. every $Q^{j}$-name $\underset{\sim}{x} \in 2^{\omega}$
(For the proof, we have to work a bit with the norms.)

By modifying the forcing notion $Q^{\bar{J}}$ a little bit, we get the following stronger version:
Theorem
Assume GCH for simplicity, $\kappa$ uncountable and regular. Let $P=\prod_{i<\kappa} Q_{i}$ be a countable support product of forcing notions $Q_{i}$, each isomorphic to (the same) $Q^{J}$.
Then each coordinate $i^{*}$ comes conceptually "after" all the other coordinates. That means:

Whenever $x$ is a $\prod_{i \neq i^{*}} Q_{i}$-name of a function in $2^{\omega}$, then $x$ avoids the measure 1 set $E_{g^{*}}$ (where $g^{*}$ is the generic function added by $Q_{i^{*}}$ ).

As a consequence, $\Vdash_{Q}$ non $($ null $) \geq \kappa$.
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WARNING Everything so far was just a warm-up. The serious stuff starts now.

We start by recalling the description of the generic null set, and change it to a generic meager set.

## What we did 10 minutes ago: generic null

## Motivation

Fix a sequence $\bar{J}=\left(J_{n}: n \in \omega\right)$ of intervals of natural numbers, which are far apart and grow quickly:

$$
\cdots \ll \min J_{n} \ll \max J_{n} \ll \min J_{n+1} \ll \cdots
$$

We want to add a generic function $g$ where $g(n) \subseteq 2^{J_{n}}$ is a set of large relative measure (say, more than $\left(1-1 / 2^{n}\right)$ ).
The set $\left\{x \in 2^{\omega} \mid \forall n: x\left\lceil J_{n} \in g(n)\right\}\right.$ has positive measure, so $E_{g}:=\left\{x \in 2^{\omega}\left|\forall^{\infty} n: x\right| J_{n} \in g(n)\right\}$ has measure 1.
We want this set to avoid all ground model reals; "iterating" our forcing many times this will tend to make non(null) big.
(non(null) $=$ the smallest size of a non-Lebesgue-null set)

## A generic meager set

## Motivation

Fix a sequence $\bar{I}=\left(I_{n}: n \in \omega\right)$ of intervals of natural numbers, which are far apart and grow quickly:

$$
\cdots \ll \min I_{n} \ll \max I_{n} \ll \min I_{n+1} \ll \cdots
$$

We want to add a generic function $g$, defined on $\cup_{n} I_{n}$. The set $R_{g}=\left\{x \in 2^{\omega}|\exists \infty n: x|_{n}=g \mid I_{n}\right\}$ is residual (co-meager), its complement
$M_{g}:=\left\{x \in 2^{\omega} \mid \forall^{\infty} n: x \uparrow I_{n} \neq g\left\lceil l_{n}\right\}\right.$ is meager.
We want the set $M_{g}$ to contain all ground model reals.
This means that in our forcing conditions we must have the possibility to remove $x \|_{n}$ from almost all $C_{n}$.
This will make fusion more difficult.

## A generic meager set, part 2

We want to add a generic function $g$ defined on $\bigcup_{n} I_{n}$,

## Definition

Let $Q^{\bar{T}}$ be the set of all $p=\left(k^{p}, s^{p}, \bar{C}^{p}, \bar{d}^{p}\right)$, where
(1) $s^{p}=\left(s_{0}^{p}, \ldots, s_{k^{p}-1}^{p}\right), \forall i<k^{p}: s_{i} \in 2^{l_{i}}$.
(2) $\bar{C}=\left(C_{n}: n \geq k\right) ; \forall n: \emptyset \neq C_{n} \subseteq 2^{\prime n}$.
(3) $d^{p}=\left(d_{n}: n \geq k\right)$, each $d_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
(4) $\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|C_{n}\right\|_{n}=\infty$, where $\|C\|_{n}=\log \left(|C|-d_{n}\right) /$ min $J_{n}!$.
$q \leq p$ means all the obvious things: $k$ becomes bigger, $s$ becomes longer (inside the appropriate $C_{i}$ ), the $C_{i}$ shrink, and $d_{n}^{q} \geq d_{n}^{p}$ for all $n \geq k^{q}$.

## Halving and unhalving

Halving = Take 50\% of all our possessions (not counting those which are already hidden), and hide them in a secret stash. Logarithmically speaking, we have lost almost no money. (At most one zero, from 1000 million to 500 million)
Concretely: Halving a creature ( $C_{n}, d_{n}$ ) means: replace $d_{n}$ by $d_{n}^{\prime}:=d_{n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|C_{n}\right|-d_{n}\right)$.
From $\left(\left|C_{n}\right|-d_{n}\right)$ to $\left(\left|C_{n}\right|-d_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ we lose $50 \%$, so the norm $\log \left(|C|-d_{n}\right) / \min J_{n}!!$ changes by at most $1 / \min J_{n}!!$.

Unhalving = When you lose "all" your money, remember your secret stash and recover it. You are now almost as rich as before. (Logarithmically speaking, at most one digit less.) Concretely: go back from $d_{n}^{\prime}$ to $d_{n}$.
Technical lemma: If you apply unhalving to finitely many creatures of a condition $q$, resulting in a condition $q^{\prime}$, then $q^{\prime}={ }^{*} q$.

## Continuous reading, using halving

We use the lim sup forcing $Q^{\overline{7}}$ which adds a meager set.
("Wlog" we use concrete numbers, for better readability.)
Lemma (Unhalving Lemma)
Let $\alpha$ be the name of an ordinal.
Given a condition, say $p=\left(s=\emptyset,\left(C_{0}, d_{0}\right),\left(C_{1}, d_{1}\right), \ldots\right)$.
Assume that $C(0)$ allows only 3 possibilities, $C(1)$ allows 10 possibilities, and all norms $\log \left(\left|C_{n}\right|-d_{n}\right) / n!$ ! are bigger than 1000 for $n \geq 2$.
Then there is a condition $q \leq p$ such that

- $C_{0}^{q}=C_{0}^{p}$ and $C_{1}^{q}=C_{1}^{p}$,
- $\forall n \geq 2: \log \left(\left|C_{n}^{q}\right|-d_{n}^{q}\right) / n!!\geq 970$ (actually: $\left.\geq 1000-30 / 2!!\right)$
- If there is a condition $r \leq q, r=\left(s_{0}, s_{1},\left(C_{2}^{r}, d_{2}^{r}\right), \ldots \ldots\right)$ deciding $\alpha$, with all norms $>0$, then already $q \wedge\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right):=\left(s_{0}, s_{1},\left(C_{2}^{q}, d_{2}^{q}\right), \ldots \ldots\right)$ decides $\alpha$.

This lemma, rewritten with the proper parameters, allows a fusion argument to show continuous reading for our forcing.

## Proof of the unhalving lemma

Start with $p$. For each possibility $s$ of the 30 possibilities from $C(0) \times C(1)$, say the $i$-th one, do the following:

- Strengthen the condition by replacing $C(0)$ and $C(1)$ by $s$.
- ("DECISION") Can you strengthen the current version of $C(2), C(3), \ldots$ in such a way that $\alpha$ is (essentially) decided, but all norms are still $\geq 1000-i$ ? If so, do it.
- ("HALVING") Otherwise, apply "halving" to $C(2), C(3)$, etc.

At the end we get a condition $q$.
Assume that $r=\left(s_{0}, s_{1},\left(C_{2}^{r}, d_{2}^{r}\right), \ldots\right) \leq q$ decides $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$. What did we do when we dealt (in step $i$ ) with $\left(s_{0}, s_{1}\right)$ ?

- Decided $\underset{\sim}{\alpha}$ ? Good.
- Halving? Try to get a contradiction.

Apply unhalving to all those $\left(C_{j}^{r}, d_{j}^{r}\right)$ with norm $<1000$ (there are only finitely many) to get a condition $r^{\prime}={ }^{*} r$. But now in $r^{\prime}$ all creatures have norm $\geq 1000-i$, so $r^{\prime}$ witnesses that we were in the DECISION case.

## Conclusion

## Theorem (Fischer-G-Kellner-Shelah 2015)

Assume GCH, and let $\kappa, \lambda$ be regular uncountable.
Let $\left(I_{n}: n \in \omega\right)$ and $\left(J_{n}: n \in \omega\right)$ be as above. (Fast growing sequences of intervals).
Let $Q$ be a product of $\kappa$ many copies of the "generic null" forcing $Q^{j}$ and $\lambda$ many copies of the "generic meager" forcing Q'. (not actually true... Use common halving parameter)
Then $\Vdash_{Q}$ "any set of size $<\kappa$ is null, and any set of size $<\lambda$ is meager".
Moreover: $\Vdash_{Q}$ non(null)=к, non(meager)=$=\lambda$.
Moreover: We can combine this with other forcings (e.g. making $2^{\aleph_{0}}=\mu$ ).

